

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Athress of MMISSI NEK (F PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, 1 (2023)
www.osphorom

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 941,930	08 28 2001	Phillip Brandt Bird	T6588.A.CON	7088
2045) 7	590 04 01 2003			
GRANT R CI	LAYTON		EXAM	INER
CLAYTON HOWARTH & CANNON, PC P O BOX 1909 SANDY, UT 84091-1909		WARD, JOHN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3 x 7 5	

DATE MAILED: 04-01-2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)
		09/941.930		BIRD ET AL
, Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit
		John A Ward		2875
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication ap	pears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF  Extensions of time may be available after SIX :6: MONTHS from the normal of the period for reply specified about 16 NO period for reply is specified.  Failure to reply within the set or e	xtended period for reply will, by statut ater than three months after the mailir	136(a). In no event, how object this statutory min will apply and will expire e. cause the application t	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from the o become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely te mailing date of this communication (35 ∪ S C § 133).
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	January 2003 .		
2a) This action is <b>FINA</b>	<b>AL</b> . 2b) <b>⊠</b> T	his action is non-f	nal.	
	ion is in condition for allow nce with the practice under			secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/ar	e pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above cla	aim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consider	ation.	
5) Claim(s) <u>37-41</u> is/aı	re allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are	rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/a	re objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are Application Papers	subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.	
_	objected to by the Examine	er		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed	•		ed to by the Exam	iner
_ · ·	equest that any objection to the		-	
11) The proposed drawing	•	=	-	
	ed drawings are required in re			,
12) The oath or declarati	ion is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is		n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *	_	, ,		
•	es of the priority documen	ts have been rece	ived.	
2 Certified copi	es of the priority documen	ts have been rece	ived in Application	n No
applicatio	certified copies of the price on from the International Bu ailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is n	nade of a claim for domest	tic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).
	of the foreign language pr	ovisional applicati	on has been rece	ived.
Attachment(s)	Hado of a olalli for dollies	ao phonty under c	5.5.5. 33 120 6	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (P<sup>*</sup></li> </ul>	TO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (	PTO-413, Paper Nors
Notice of Draftsperson's Paten		5) [		stent Application (PTC-152

Application/Control Number: 09/941,930

Art Unit: 2875

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,280,050. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because see table below.

### Regarding the claims:

Patent	Discussion or Differences
('050)	
1	Claim 1 of the instant application is broader in scope of
	the patented Claim 1.
2	The limitation of each claim is identical.
3	The limitation of each claim is identical.
4	The limitation of each claim is identical.
	('050)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/941,930

Art Unit: 2875

5	5	The limitation of each claim is identical.
6	8	The limitation of each claim is identical.
7	7	The limitation of each claim is identical.
8	8	The limitation of each claim is identical.
9	9	The limitation of each claim is identical.
10	10	The limitation of each claim is identical.
11	11	The limitation of each claim is identical.
12	12	The limitation of each claim is identical.

Claims 13-19 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,280,050. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because see table below.

# Regarding the claims:

Instant	Patent	Discussion or Differences
Application	('050)	
13	13	Claim 13 of the instant application is broader in scope of the patented Claim 13.
14	14	The limitation of each claim is identical.
15	15	The limitation of each claim is identical.
16	16	The limitation of each claim is identical.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/941,930

Art Unit: 2875

17	17	The limitation of each claim is identical.
18	18	The limitation of each claim is identical.
19	19	The limitation of each claim is identical.

Claims 20-29 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,280,050. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because see table below.

# Regarding the claims:

Instant	Prior art	Discussion or Differences
Application	('050)	
20	20	Claim 20 of the instant application is broader in scope of
		the patented Claim 20.
21	21	The limitation of each claim is identical.
22	22	The limitation of each claim is identical.
23	23	The limitation of each claim is identical.
24	24	The limitation of each claim is identical.
25	25	The limitation of each claim is identical.
26	26	The limitation of each claim is identical.
27	27	The limitation of each claim is identical.
28	28	The limitation of each claim is identical.
29	29	The limitation of each claim is identical.

Application/Control Number: 09/941.930

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 30-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13 and 20-23, of U.S. Patent No. 6,280,050. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because see table below.

Instant	Prior art	Discussion or differences
application	('050)	
30	20	Claim 30 of the instant application is incorporated in
		patented claim 20.
31	20	Claim 31 of the instant application is incorporated in the
		patented claim 20.
32	22	Claim 32 of the instant application is incorporated in the
		patented claim 22.
33	22	Claim 33 of the instant application is incorporated in the
		patented claim 22.
34	23	Claim 34 of the instant application is incorporated in the
		patented claim 23.
35	21	Claim 35 of the instant application is incorporated in the
		patented claim 21.
	k -	

Application/Control Number: 09/941,930

Art Unit: 2875

36	13	Claim 36 of the instant application is incorporated in the
î		patented claim 13.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 37-41 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding independent claim 37, nowhere is found a flexible flashlight extension having a first fitting and second fitting, the first fitting arranged to interface removably with an end of the flashlight and the second end arranged to interface removably with the head of the flashlight. A body connected between the first and second end fittings, along with means for: holding the body in a deformed shape, means for forming a non conductive barrier, means for conducting electricity from the first end fitting to the second end fitting and a covering for each of the above mention means.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 16, filed January 7, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-29 under statutory double patenting have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Bird et al ('050) now bringing amended claims 1-36 under non-statutory double patenting which can be overcome with a terminal disclaimer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 703-305-5157. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0596.

JAW

March 25, 2003

John A. Ward

Patent Examiner AU 2875